



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/580,803 | 11/24/2006 | Shey-Shing Sheu | RO0006US.NP | 8858 |
| 26259 7590 12/01/2008 LICATA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053 | | | | |
| EXAMINER CRANE, LAWRENCE E | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 1623 | | | | |
| NOTIFICATION DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 12/01/2008 | | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

poreilly@licataandtyrrell.com

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/580,803 | SHEU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | LAWRENCE E. CRANE | 1623 | |

All Participants:

(1) LAWRENCE E. CRANE.

(2) Jane M. Licata.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, first paragraph and second paragraph

Claims discussed:

1, 15, 18, 21, 24, and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant and examiner discussed changes that might be made by Examiner's Amendment. Applicant suggested that examiner provide by e-mail a proposed Examiner's Amendment. Examiner agreed to provide same.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence E. Crane/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

Status of Application: Response after final filed.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)